UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,290	03/24/2004	Cindra A. Widrig Opalsky	215105.00608	3910
	7590 04/16/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
(C/O PATENT ADMINISTRATOR) 2900 K STREET NW, SUITE 200			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
	N, DC 20007-5118		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/807,290	OPALSKY ET AL.				
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1797				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Lyle A. Alexander</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mr. Rose</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>147</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant noted there appears to be a typographical error in the first sentence on page 6 of the 4/8/09 Office action.</u> Specifically, Applicant corrected noted that claim 143 is mentioned twice in the fist sentence and there is no mention of pending claim 147. The Office regrets this typographical error. The first sentence on page 6 of the 4/8/09 Office action should read "Claims 137-143,147,149,159-166,204-211 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)".						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797						